Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/789,422	SHEKUNOV ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph W. Drodge	1797		

	Joseph W. Drodge	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in tater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further colling they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.2.5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		npliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9,11-14 and 17-29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a		
10. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ea.		
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:		
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☒ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections of claims 1-9,11-14 and 17-29 over U.S.C. 112, 1ST AND 2ND paragraphs have been overcome.

Continuation of 13. Other: Recitations in claims 1,11 and 17 of flowing solvent out of extraction chamber via a backpressure regulator would constitute New Issues. Argument in the Remarks and Affadavit of the applied '748 patent disclosing contacting of an emulsion with supercritical fluid in extraction chamber rather than contacting solution comprising 1st solvent that is insoluble in supercritical fluid, 2nd solvent and solute that is miscible only with the 1st solvent, and that such solvent cannot be an emulsion because such 2nd solvent is at least partially miscible with 1st solvent are not persuasive. The claims do not preclude presence of solvents. At least partially miscible solvents are disclosed in '748 at column 5, lines 50-62, etc.

/Joseph W. Drodge/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797